Design of a circular clamped plate excited by a voice coil and piezoelectric patches used as a loudspeaker
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In this article a dynamical model of the vibrations and acoustic radiation of a circular clamped plate excited by a voice coil and two annular piezoelectric patches is derived. This model is used to perform an optimization of the geometries with the objective to minimize the vibration of the plate along its second and third modes, so that the plate’s radiation is equilibrated between its first and fourth eigenfrequencies. Experiments are then performed and show a good agreement with the model. Radiation of the designed system presents improvements when compared to a system when only a voice coil is used.

Nomenclature

\(W\) Plate’s vertical displacement (m), non-dimensional \(\sim w\)

\(R\) Radius variable (m), non-dimensional \(\sim r\)

\(T\) Time (s), non-dimensional \(\sim t\)

\(A,B\) Internal, external radii of piezo (m), non-dimensional \(\sim a,b\)

\(C\) Radius of voice coil (m), non-dimensional \(\sim c\)

\(R_0\) Plate’s radius, non-dimensional \(\sim 1\)

\(E_0,E_p\) Young’s modulus of plate and piezo

\(\nu_0,\nu_p,\nu_g\) Poisson’s coefficient of plate, piezo and glue

\(\rho_0,\rho_p,\rho_g\) Density of plate, piezo and glue

\(H_0, H_p, H_g\) Plate’s thickness (m), Piezos thickness (m), Glue thickness (m)

\(\mu_0,\mu_p,\mu_g\) Surface density of plate, piezos and glue layer

\(D_0\) Rigidity of plate = \(E_0/12(1-\nu_0^2)\)

\(D_p\) Rigidity of piezos = \(E_p/(1-\nu_p^2) \times (H_pH_g^2/2+H_p^2H_0+2H_p^3/3)\)

\(M_0\) Total mass of the plate (kg)

\(R_c\) Electrical resistance of the voice coil (Ohms)

\(L_c\) Inductance of the voice coil (H)

\(Bl\) Electromechanical conversion factor (T.m)

\(u_c, u_p\) Tension across the voice coil and the piezo respectively (V)

\(Z_p\) Moment arm of the piezoelectric patch \(\equiv \left(\frac{H_0+H_p}{2}\right)\)

1 Introduction

Classically, sound is produced by exciting air with a moving surface. The manufacturing of loudspeakers has converged to a design involving a cone excited at a given radius by a voice coil and fixed at its outer radius to a rigid structure through a flexible material, referred to as the surround. As a first approach, the radiation behavior of such designed loudspeakers can be estimated by considering a translating plane surface baffled in an enclosure and coupled to an electrical circuit. This assumption served as a basis for the theory of Thiele [1, 2] in the context of closed boxes and Small [3, 4] for vented boxes. They are yet widely used for the design complete loudspeaker systems in the industry. In practice, such system possesses also structural modes at higher frequencies [5]. The useful bandwidth of this kind of loudspeakers (i.e. the frequency range where the radiated power is almost constant and suitable for high-fidelity reproduction) is in practice comprised between the two first eigenfrequencies of the system. Hence, with this design, the higher the first eigenfrequency of the structural modes compared to the frequency piston-like oscillator, the wider the bandwidth. That is one of the reasons why a conical membrane is used. One can find many attempts to depart from this now classical piston-like design. In addition to designs involving piezoelectric transducers [6], one can mention systems involving rectangular plates excited by multiple electrodynamic transducers, at the origin of the DML system [7,8]. Other systems
involving rectangular panels are used to synthesize acoustical wave fields [9, 10], and thus referred to as Wave Field Synthesis.

The present article addresses the problem of a loudspeaker consisting of a circular plate clamped at its outer radius, excited by a voice coil. Attention is paid on this system because it makes possible the design of flat loudspeakers, and a deformation along its first mode presents a better directivity factor than a translating baffled piston mode [11]. This design has however a major inconvenience: compared to piston-like structures, the first eigenfrequency is poorly separated from the others. The bandwidth of such a transducer is hence significantly reduced in comparison to the classical design. In order to circumvent this problem, we treat the reduction of the vibrations of the undesirable modes with the introduction of additional forcings on the system exerted by piezoelectric patches. Vibrating plates or beams equipped with piezoelectric elements interacting with electric circuits have been extensively studied during the last decades, in various application fields such as active control of undesirable vibrations [12], aeroelastic instabilities [13, 14], passive damping [15–17], energy harvesting [18–21]. In the specific domain of acoustics, piezoelectric actuators and sensors have been used to control the sound radiated by vibrating plates [22–26], the sound transmitted by plates between two spaces [27–29]. Piezoelectric coupling will be introduced in the present work using results of Lee et al. [30, 31], who derived the equations governing the dynamics of a general non-isotropic three-layered laminate plate with two symmetric piezoelectric layers.

The work of the present article has for objective to find the optimal geometric parameters of the voice coil and the piezoelectric patches so that the plate’s response has a maximal amplitude along its first mode and a minimal amplitude at the other ones when the same signal is sent to all actuators. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, a dynamical model of a flat circular clamped plate equipped with a voice coil and two piezoelectric patches is derived. In section 3, this model is used to perform an optimization with the objective above mentioned. In section 4, various experimental and theoretical transfer functions are compared to validate the model and results of a controlled loudspeaker are presented. A conclusion then closes the article.

2 Reduced order model of a plate equipped with a voice coil and two symmetrical piezoelectric annuli

2.1 Position of the problem

In this section, a reduced order dynamical model of a flat circular clamped plate equipped with a voice coil and two piezoelectric patches is presented. Firstly, dynamical equations of a plate with added mass and rigidity due to the presence of piezoelectric patches are derived. A modal expansion will then be performed and the full dynamical equations of the plate with piezoelectric patches, voice coil and their associated forcings will be projected on the eigenmodes to obtain a linear discrete dynamical system where each modal displacement and electrical displacements of each electrical circuit represent one degree of freedom. This model will then be used to compute various electromechanical transfer functions.

2.2 Equations and eigenmodes of a plate with piezoelectric patches

Consider the system sketched in Figure 1, representing a plate of thickness \( H_0 \), radius \( R_0 \) on which two piezoelectric annuli of internal radius \( A \), external radius \( B \) and thickness \( H_p \) are glued. A voice coil mass \( M \) is fixed at \( R = C \) on the plate. Due to the presence of two piezoelectric layers between the radii \( A \) and \( B \), the flexural rigidity of the plate has the following expression,

\[
D(R) = D_0 + F_p(R)D_p,
\]

where \( D_0 \) is the flexural rigidity of the plate without piezoelectric layers, \( D_p \) is an added flexural rigidity due to the presence of the piezoelectric material and \( F_p \) is a function that equals 1 for \( R \in [A, B] \) and zero elsewhere, so that is it appropriately described by the sum of two Heaviside functions,

\[
F_p(R) = H(R - A) - H(R - B).
\]

The exact expression of the flexural rigidity \( D_p \) as function of the material properties and their geometries has been derived.
in the case of a three layer laminate by Lee et al. [30–32] and is given in the nomenclature. We may also consider the five layer problem where two additional layers of glue are considered. It is presented in appendix B. It is shown in this appendix that it is possible to end up with an equivalent three layer problem after an appropriate change of variables. Thus the three layer model is retained here for the sake of simplicity.

The surface density of the plate has the following expression,

\[ \mu(R) = \mu_0 + F_p(R)\mu_p + \delta(R - C) \frac{M_c}{2\pi C} \]  

(3)

where \( \mu_0 \) and \( \mu_p \) are the surface density of the plate and the two piezoelectric patches respectively. Using a linear Kirchoff-Love approximation, the displacement of the plate is known to satisfy the following equation:

\[ D(R)\Delta^2 W(R, T) + \mu(R)\ddot{W}(R, T) = P(R, T). \]  

(4)

where \( P \) is the pressure exerted on the plate. The plate’s displacement is here considered independent of the polar angle, which is justified by the fact that all forcings exerted on the plate are axisymmetric. The boundary conditions of the problem are classical boundary conditions of a plate clamped at \( R = R_0 \),

\[ W(R = R_0, T) = \frac{\partial W(R, T)}{\partial T} \Bigg|_{R=R_0} = 0. \]  

(5)

Three kind of external forcing are now considered: the force coming from the voice coil \( P_v \), the force due to piezoelectric coupling \( P_p \), and a force due to a pressure difference between each side of the plate \( P \).

Following the modelization of Thiele [1,2] of electro-mechanical coupling introduced in the context of piston-like electrodynamic transducers, the force exerted by the voice coil is considered to be proportional to the electrical current in the coil \( i_c \), to the radial magnetic flux density in the air gap \( B \) and to the length of the wire in the magnetic field \( l \). This force is then exerted on a circle of radius \( C \) so that its contribution in the right-hand term of equation (4) reads,

\[ P_v(T, R) = Bli_c(T) \frac{\delta(R - C)}{2\pi C}. \]  

(6)

The pressure \( P_v \) is a consequence of the stretching of the piezoelectric material induced by charge displacements. Its expression can be deduced from the results of Lee and Moon [30], where it is expressed in cartesian coordinates in the general case of non-isotropic piezoelectric materials. Considering isotropy in the plane \((X, Y)\) (i.e. the plane of the plate) and axisymmetry, the contribution of one piezoelectric patch in the right-hand term of equation (4) is readily obtained in polar coordinates as

\[ P_p(R, T) = -u_p(T)\varepsilon_{31}Z_p \left( \frac{\partial^2 F_p}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial F_p}{\partial R} \right), \]  

(7)

where \( u_p \) is the voltage at the outlets of the piezoelectric element, \( \varepsilon_{31} \) is a piezoelectric coefficient describing the coupling between the deformation in the plane of the plate to the electrical field in the \( Z \)-direction. In the present approach, two symmetrically glued piezoelectric patches are considered, each are connected to a distinct circuit. In many works, piezoelectric patches are glued in such a way that their respective polarity is inversed. Connected in series, they behave like a single piezoelectric patch with a moment arm of twice the value in equation (7) and an electric capacity of two condensers in series, \( C_p/2 \). This configuration is in practice that which induces the smallest non-linear effects, not modeled in the present approach. Indeed, this configuration ensures that the longitudinal stretching of the plate induced by one piezoelectric patch is cancelled by the other [12]. In the present model, the voltages exerted on both piezoelectric elements are always equal, thus leading to the same conclusion, but it leaves the possibility to use non-symmetric forcings for which the present model is valid only at the linear level.

If the loudspeaker is placed in a closed box of volume \( V_0 \) at static equilibrium, there is a pressure difference between each side of the plate, due to the volume variation of the box. This pressure is hence expressed as

\[ P_v = \delta P = -\gamma_p \frac{\delta V}{V_0} = -\gamma_p \int_0^\pi W(R, T) dS \]  

(8)

This expression is similar to those found in models considering piston-like loudspeaker [3, 4]. The difference comes from the fact that the plate’s displacement depends on \( r \) in the present model and has to be integrated to compute the volume variation.

Let us now consider the electrical networks on which the electromechanical devices are connected. The electrical network considered for the voice coil is sketched in Figure 2a. It consists of a resistance \( R_c \), an inductance \( L_c \), and a power source \( B i W(T) \) due to the electromechanical coupling. A voltage source coming from an amplifier is connected in parallel of these three elements. The model equation of this electrical network is then,

\[ R_c i_c(T) + L_c \frac{d i_c}{d T} + B i(W(C)) \frac{d W(C)}{d T} = u_c(t). \]  

(9)

The equivalent electrical network for the piezoelectric patches is sketched in Figure 2b and is considered when the piezoelectric material is used as an actuator. Here a voltage signal \( u_p \) coming from an amplifier is connected directly to the outlets of the piezoelectric material, which behaves as a capacitive element in series with a power source due to
decomposed into three components

the electromechanical coupling. The equation governing the electric charge displacement \( Q_p \) reads [30],

\[
\frac{Q_p}{C_p} + \frac{Z_p e_{31}}{C_p} \int S F_p(R) \left( \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} \right) \, dS = u_p(t). \tag{10}
\]

Non-dimensional equations are now derived. Introducing the non-dimensional radius, displacement, time and pressure as

\[
\begin{align*}
  r &= R/R_0, \quad w = W/H_0, \quad t = \frac{T}{T_c} = \frac{T}{R_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{C}{P_0}}}, \quad p = \frac{P}{P_0 H_0},
\end{align*}
\]

the non-dimensional local equilibrium equation (4) becomes,

\[
\bar{D}(r) \Delta^2 w + \bar{\mu}(r) \bar{\omega} = \bar{p}(r,t), \tag{12}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
  \bar{D}(r) &= 1 + \bar{D}_p f_p, \\
  \bar{\mu}(r) &= 1 + \bar{\mu}_p f_p + \frac{\delta (r - c)}{2c} \bar{M}_c, \\
  f_p(r) &= H(r - a) - H(r - b),
\end{align*}
\]

and where \( a = A/R_0 \) and \( b = B/R_0 \) are the non-dimensional radii characterizing the piezoelectric annulus geometry, \( D_p = D_p/D_0 \) is the non-dimensional flexural rigidity of the three-layers laminate, \( \bar{\mu}_p = \mu_p/\mu_0 \) is the non-dimensional surface density and \( \bar{M}_c = M_c/M_0 \) is the mass of the voice coil normalized by the mass of the plate. The dimensionless pressure due to external forcings on the plate is similarly decomposed into three components \( p = p_c + p_p + p_r \), with,

\[
\begin{align*}
  p_c(r,t) &= \bar{\xi}_{c} \bar{\epsilon}_c(t) \frac{\delta (r - c)}{2\pi c}, \\
  p_p(r,t) &= -\bar{\xi}_p \bar{u}_p(t) \frac{\partial^2 p_p}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p_p}{\partial r}, \\
  p_r(r,t) &= -\bar{\xi} p 2\pi \int_0^1 w(r,t) r \, dr,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( q = q/T_c \), and where the three following coupling coefficients have been introduced:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \bar{\xi}_c &= \frac{BIR_0^2}{D_0 H_0}, \tag{19} \\
  \bar{\xi}_p &= \frac{Z_p e_{31} R_0^2}{D_0 H_0}, \tag{20} \\
  \bar{\xi}_r &= \frac{\gamma_p R_0^4}{V_0 D_0}. \tag{21}
\end{align*}
\]

The coefficient \( \bar{\xi}_c \) quantifies the coupling between the current in the voice coil and the dimensionless force exerted on the plate. \( \bar{\xi}_p \) quantifies the coupling between the current in the piezoelectric patches and the force exerted on the plate. Finally, \( \bar{\xi}_r \) quantifies the force exerted on the plate due to a volume variation in the closed box. It has to be noted that \( q \) is not a dimensionless quantity as it has the dimensions of an electric charge per unit time. This normalization is preferred because it allows to keep voltages and currents expressed in Volts and Amperes respectively while all purely mechanical quantities are dimensionless. Another consequence is that \( q, \bar{q} \) and \( \dot{q} \) all have the dimension of Amperes while \( \bar{\xi}_c \) and \( \bar{\xi}_p \) have the dimension of Ampere^{-1}. The dimensionless equivalents of equations (9-10) governing the charge in the electric circuits are respectively,

\[
\begin{align*}
  R_c \frac{dq_c}{dt} + \bar{\xi}_c \frac{d^2 q_c}{dt^2} + \bar{\xi}_p \frac{dw(c)}{dt} &= u_c(t), \tag{22} \\
  \frac{d p}{C_p} + \gamma_p 2\pi r w^{(b)} &= u_p(t). \tag{23}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \bar{L}_c = L_c/T_c, \bar{C}_p = C_p/T_c \) and where \( \bar{\xi}_{ce} \) and \( \bar{\xi}_{ep} \) are mechanical to electrical coupling coefficients.

\[
\begin{align*}
  \bar{\xi}_{ce} &= \frac{BIR_0}{R_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{D_0}{\mu_0}}}, \tag{24} \\
  \bar{\xi}_{ep} &= \frac{Z_p e_{31} H_0}{C_p}. \tag{25}
\end{align*}
\]

These coefficients have the dimension of Volts.

2.3 Discretization of the plate’s equations

Let us now consider that the eigenfrequencies \( \omega_n \) and eigenmodes \( \phi_n(r,t) \) of the unforced plate without voice coil are known functions. These are the eigenmodes of equation (12) with \( p(r,t) = 0, M_c = 0 \) and with boundary conditions (5). They are considered to be known in the present derivation as their exact calculation is presented in appendix A. They are used to perform a modal expansion of the problem. The displacement is hence expressed as a truncated sum of modal contributions,

\[
w(r,t) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_{wn}(t) \phi_n(r). \tag{26}
\]
As this modal force depends linearly on the mechanical box has the following expression:

\[ M \ddot{\phi}_m + K \dot{\phi}_m = \ddot{f}_c(t) + \dot{f}_p(t) + \ddot{f}_v(t), \]  

(27)

where \( M \) is the mechanical mass matrix which elements read

\[ M_{mn} = \langle \phi_m, \dot{\rho} \phi_n \rangle = \delta_{mn} m \phi_m(c) \phi_n(c), \]  

(28)

and \( K \) is the mechanical rigidity matrix which elements have the following expression:

\[ K_{mn} = \langle \phi_m, D \phi_n \rangle = \delta_{mn} \omega_m^2, \]  

(29)

Orthogonality relations of equations (76) and (77) have been used here. The \( m^{th} \) component of the modal force \( \ddot{f}_c(t) \) has the following expression,

\[ f_{cm} = \langle \phi_m, p_c \rangle = \tau_v \phi_m(c) i_c(t). \]  

(30)

The \( m^{th} \) component of modal force \( \ddot{f}_p(t) \) is,

\[ f_{pm} = \langle \phi_m, p_p \rangle \]

\[ = -\frac{\tau_v}{C_p} 2\pi \left| \int \phi'_m \phi_p(t) - \int \phi_p \int_{r}^{N} 4\pi^2 \left| \int \phi'_m \phi'_p \right| \right| q_{mn}(t). \]  

(31)

This force is the sum of two terms, the first one effectively acts as a forcing term due to a charge displacement in the piezoelectric material. The second one is proportional to the mechanical modal displacement and will appear as an added rigidity matrix in the complete dynamical problem. Finally, the modal force \( m \) due to a pressure variation in the closed-box has the following expression:

\[ f_{vm} = \langle \phi_m, p_v \rangle = -\tau_v \left\{ \phi_m \int_{r}^{N} q_{mn} 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} \phi_n dr \right\}. \]  

(32)

As this modal force depends linearly on the mechanical modal displacements, it is a rigidity force, and will appear in the rigidity matrix of the final problem.

In order to write the full dynamical equations satisfied by the modal displacements, the following projection vectors are introduced:

\[ \Phi_c = \left( \phi_1(c) \right)_{i=1} \otimes \Phi_p = \left( \phi_1 \phi_p \right)_{i=1} \otimes \Phi_v = \left( \phi_1 \phi_v \right) \]  

\[ \Phi_{\bar{c}} = \left( \phi_1(c) \right)_{i=1} \otimes \Phi_{\bar{p}} = \left( \phi_1 \phi_{\bar{p}} \right)_{i=1} \otimes \Phi_{\bar{v}} = \left( \phi_1 \phi_{\bar{v}} \right) \]  

(33)

Next, the two added rigidity matrices are introduced:

\[ K_p = \tau_p \bar{\phi}_p \bar{K}_p \cdot \bar{\phi}_p, \quad K_v = \tau_v \bar{\phi}_v \bar{K}_v \cdot \bar{\phi}_v. \]  

(34)

The dynamical problem may now be written by adding three lines to the matrix dynamical equation (27) corresponding to the three electrical circuits,

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{f}_c(t) \\ \ddot{f}_p(t) \\ \ddot{f}_v(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{q}_c(t) \\ \ddot{q}_p(t) \\ \ddot{q}_v(t) \end{bmatrix} \]  

(35)

where indices 1 and 2 are used to differentiate between front and rear piezoelectric patches. This equation is the full discretized problem of the electrically forced transducer where a voice and two piezoelectric patches of the same size and material properties are considered, as sketched on Figure 1. The \( N \times N \) diagonal matrix \( C \) appearing in the upper left part of the dissipation matrix models all sources of energy losses in the system, such as visco-elasticity of the material or acoustic radiation. In the present modeling the coefficients of this diagonal matrix have to be adjusted empirically from experiments. In a more compact form, equation (35) reads,

\[ M \ddot{q}(t) + C \ddot{q}(t) + K \ddot{q}(t) = \ddot{u}(t). \]  

(36)

### 2.4 Transfer functions computation

The model presented above will be used to compute transfer functions between different quantities of the system. Considering the forcing and response vectors to be of the form,

\[ \ddot{u}(t) = \ddot{u}_0 e^{i\omega t}, \quad \ddot{q}(t) = \ddot{q}_0 e^{i\omega t}. \]  

(37)

Introducing these expressions in equation (36) and factorizing \( \ddot{q}_0 \) leads to the following expression for the response’s amplitudes,

\[ \ddot{q}_0(\omega) = (-\omega^2 M + i\omega C + K)^{-1} \ddot{u}_0. \]  

(38)

Numerical computation of a transfer function hence consists in inverting a matrix for discrete values of \( \omega \). This is done...
with Matlab for the results presented in this article. Let us consider first the loudspeaker’s impedance, which is a transfer function commonly measured on loudspeakers. Practically, it can be achieved by forcing the voice coil with a voltage in the form of a harmonic signal at different frequencies and measuring the intensity. Numerically, this is done by considering a forcing vector $\vec{u}_0$ in the form of a vector full of zeros, except at the position corresponding to $u_{0c}$. One next compute the response vector with equation (38). The voice coil impedance then reads,

$$Z_c = \frac{i_0(\omega)e^{i\omega t}}{u_{0c}e^{i\omega t}} = \frac{i\omega q_{0n}(\omega)}{u_{0c}}.$$  

(39)

Another transfer function that will be considered in the following is the transfer function between the displacement at a given position $r$ and the voice coil voltage. After using equation (26) to express the displacement as function of the modal variables, the transfer function we are looking for reads,

$$\frac{w(r, \omega)}{u_{0c}} = \frac{1}{u_{0c}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_{0n}(\omega) \phi_n(r).$$  

(40)

Similarly, the transfer function between tension at coil and acceleration reads,

$$\frac{a_{0n}(\omega)}{u_{c}} = -\omega^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} q_{0n}(\omega) \phi_n(r_0).$$  

(41)

Let us consider now the pressure radiated by the plate at a distance $L$ from the plate, on the Z axis. This pressure can be computed using the Rayleigh integral [33],

$$P(L) = -\frac{\Omega^2 \rho}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \int_{\mathcal{L}'} e^{-iK L'} W(R) RdRd\theta,$$

where $L' = \sqrt{L^2 + R^2}$ is the distance between the point of interest and a point on the plate and $K$ is the wavenumber,

$$K = \frac{\Omega}{c_0}.$$  

(43)

In the above equation, the use of capitals letters indicates that dimensional quantities are used. The point is considered to be at a distance greater than the typical size of the plate, $L' \sim L$, and can be put outside of the integral. After a straightforward calculation, the pressure takes then the following form,

$$P(L) = \frac{\rho H \varepsilon R_0^2}{2\pi T_c^2} \frac{e^{-iKL}}{L} \omega^2 \sum_{n} q_{0n}(\omega) \chi_{mn}.$$  

(44)

3 Optimization of the position of the voice coil and the piezoelectric patches

The objective of this section is to address the design of a flat plate excited by a voice coil that approaches the behavior of a classical piston-like loudspeaker. In the low frequency approximation, the latter is viewed as a single mode oscillator coupled to an electrical circuit through electromechanical coupling. The typical transfer functions of such ideal loudspeaker can be obtained by only considering the first plate mode in the model of the previous section, so that $N = 1$ in equation (26). It is plotted in Figure 3a-d and compared to the same voice coil when five modes are retained in the model. In these figures, arbitrary but representative values of the parameters have been chosen. It appears then in Figure 3d that without any particular care taken in the design of this flat loudspeaker, the level of the pressure radiated is not homogeneous, resulting in a poorly equilibrated loudspeaker at frequencies above the second eigenfrequency. Hence, the effective useful bandwidth of this loudspeaker is a narrow range of frequencies above its first eigenfrequency. Conversely, the case $N = 1$ has an increased bandwidth that is more similar to that of a piston-like loudspeaker.

It is envisaged to approach the $N = 1$ behavior by cancelling the effect of resonances of modes 2 and 3 by a careful design of two actuators: one voice coil and one pair of piezoelectric patches. In this optimization process, modes 2 and 3 are addressed differently. Indeed the parameters of the system are adjusted so that the projection of the pressure exerted by the voice coil and the piezoelectric patch on mode 3 equals zero, while mode 2 is cancelled by using appropriate respective values of the amplitudes of the voice coil and piezoelectric voltages $u_c$, $u_{p1}$ and $u_{p2}$. In order to ensure a good efficiency of the forcing exerted on mode 2 by the piezoelectric patch, a high value of the piezoelectric modal force of mode 2 is looked for. Finally, in a more compact formal form the optimization procedure can be expressed as:

Maximize $\chi_{p2}$ with $\chi_{c3} \equiv 0$ and $\chi_{p3} \equiv 0$.  

(45)

Equation (33) indicates that $\bar{\chi}_r$ and $\bar{\chi}_p$ depend only on $a$, $b$, $c$ and the mode shapes $\phi_n$. The latter depend on $v_0$, $v_p$, $v_8$, $D_p$, $\mu_p$, $D_\chi$, $\mu_\chi$, $a$, $b$ and $c$. Consequently, if the material parameters are fixed quantities (see table 1), only the geometric quantities $a$, $b$ and $c$ are variables for the optimization process. Hence, before performing the optimization procedure, mechanical parameters used for the plate and the piezoelectric actuators have to be known quantities.

The chosen material for the plate is a polymethacrylimide thermoformed foam. This material is used in some modern commercial loudspeakers and its parameters have been estimated by measuring the first two eigenfrequencies of cantilevered plates coming from the same material sample as the one used for the final prototype. The retained material parameters are those ensuring the best fit between experimental frequencies and frequencies predicted by simple finite element computations for different plate sizes. The piezoelectric patches are thin films of PVDF (polyvinylidene
An optimal loudspeaker satisfying criteria (45) is now sought for in the $(a, b, c)$ space. For each triplet of these parameters, the linear problem detailed in appendix A is solved to compute the eigenmodes and the projections $\chi_{p2}$, $\chi_{p3}$ and $\chi_{c3}$. In Figure 4, the contour levels of $\chi_{p2}$ are plotted in the $(a,b)$ plane for different values of $c$. The contour lines where $\chi_{p3}$ and $\chi_{c3}$ equal zero are plotted on the same figures in blue and red respectively. Each crossing of the blue and red lines corresponds to a situation where both $\chi_{p3}$ and $\chi_{c3}$ vanish. Such points are looked for in the vicinity of a maximum of $\chi_{p2}$. It appears that multiple choices of the triplet $(a,b,c)$ are possible. They occur at different points in the $(a,b)$ plane in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Rohacell</th>
<th>Piezo</th>
<th>Adhesive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yng’s mod. (MPa)</td>
<td>$E_0 = 220$</td>
<td>$E_p = 1780$</td>
<td>$E_g = 1780$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>$\nu_0 = 0.1$</td>
<td>$\nu_p = 0.2$</td>
<td>$\nu_g = 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (mm)</td>
<td>$h_0 = 3$</td>
<td>$h_p = 0.04$</td>
<td>$h_p = 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>$\rho_0 = 96$</td>
<td>$\rho_p = 1850$</td>
<td>$\rho_g = 500$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius (m)</td>
<td>$R_0 = 0.08$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezo coeff. (C/m$^2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{31} = 0.02$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Table of materials properties
the range $c \in [0.254, 0.266]$. Good candidates are indicated by an arrow on these figures. The chosen design is finally the one emphasized at $c = 0.254$ on Figure 4 and the final triplet of chosen parameters is

$$a = 0.36, \quad b = 0.8, \quad c = 0.254. \quad (46)$$

4 Experiments

Based on the design rules obtained in the previous section, the flat plate loudspeaker presented in Figure 5 has been built. Due to practical problems in cutting and gluing manually the piezoelectric patches, the desired radii could not be selected with precision. The following dimensional values of the three geometrical parameters were finally obtained,

$$A = 0.029 \text{ m}, \quad B = 0.063 \text{ m}, \quad C = 0.0195 \text{ m}, \quad (47)$$

the corresponding non-dimensional parameters being,

$$a = 0.363, \quad b = 0.787, \quad c = 0.244. \quad (48)$$

As these parameters are different than that required by the optimization procedure, the criteria (45) is not perfectly satisfied by the prototype. In particular, $\chi_{c3}$ and $\chi_{p3}$ ≠ 0 and mode 3 remains excited. It is expected that this could be improved by more precise and robust manufacturing. Generally speaking, the standard manufacturing tolerance of piezoelectric material is around 0.2 mm and usually less. Given the geometrical parameters in the experiment, one can expect a precision of the order of $10^{-3}$ on the geometrical parameters $a$ and $b$, while it is of $10^{-2}$ for the present manual procedure.

Mechanical transfer functions predicted by the model are now compared to measurements on the prototype. In the experiments, a National Instrument DAQ card and Labview are used to manage swept sine measurements. Output voltages are sent to the voice coil with a QSC 5050 amplifier and to the piezoelectric patches with a TREK PZD-350 amplifier.

Fig. 4. Contour levels of $\chi_{p2}$ in the map $(a,b)$, zeros of $\chi_{p3}$ (blue) and zeroes of $\chi_{c3}$ (red). Points satisfying the criteria of equation (45) are indicated by an arrow.

Fig. 5. Photographs of the prototype.
The displacement of the plate is measured at the center using a Keyence LK-G37 laser displacement. Four different resulting transfer functions are plotted on Figure 6 and compared to the theory:

(a) the voice coil impedance,
(b) the transfer function between voltage at the voice coil inlets and displacement at the center of the plate \( (w_{r0}/u_{c}) \),
(c) the transfer function between tension at the piezoelectric patches outlets and displacement at the center of the plate when the voice coil outlets are not connected \( (w_{r0}/u_{c} \text{ with } \bar{\tau}_c \text{ forced to } 0) \)
(d) the same as the latter when the voice coil outlets are short-circuited \( (w_{r0}/u_{c}) \).

The modal dampings of modes 1 and 2 have been adjusted so that the height of the peaks are the same for theory and experiments on the impedance curves of Figure 6(a). Precision of the identification of damping using impedance peaks was insufficient for higher modes, it was hence chosen to adjust modal damping of these modes so that we observe the best fit between theory and experiments in the transfer function of Figure 6(b). Finally, the five diagonal coefficients of the matrix \( C \) of equation (35) are set to \([2, 4, 3, 6, 7, 15, 17]\). It has to be noted that eigenmodes of the system are independent of the diagonal terms in the damping matrix because...
these terms do not introduce coupling between modes in the mechanical system (35). Consequently, results of the optimization procedure presented in section 3 are not affected by the adjustment of these damping coefficients.

The first observation that can be made from the experimental and theoretical curves of Figure 6 is that a good agreement exists between experiments and a model where only the damping has been adjusted. Indeed, it has to be recalled that all other parameters have been identified using distinct experiments: dynamic tests on beams for the plate’s material, manufacturer data for the piezoelectric material, electrical measurements for the static resistance and impedance of the voice-coil, weightings for the different masses. Only the Young’s modulus of the glue has been arbitrarily chosen. However, this parameter was adjusted in order to improve the agreement. Slight improvements of the model’s results have been observed when $E_g$ is strongly increased, but changes were not significant enough to justify a change in the value selected in the previous section. It has to be noted that in the case presented here, contrary to the objectives of the optimization performed in section 3, mode 3 remains excited by both the piezoelectric patches and the voice coil. This is clearly visible on each of the plots. This may be due to the imprecisions occurring during the manufacturing process.

Let us now address the cases where both the voice coil and the piezoelectric patches are used to force the plate. It is desired to approach a case where only the first mode is excited, so that we obtain a better spectral equilibrium of the radiated power. On Figures 7 and 8, the transfer function between voltage at the voice coil and the displacement at the center of the plate is plotted in five different cases:

1. Only the voice coil is used (piezoelectric patches short circuited), theoretically and experimentally. This case is in practice the same as in Figure 6b.
2. The same electrical signal is sent to the piezoelectric patches, but with an amplitude multiplied by 250, theoretically and experimentally.
3. A virtual case where only the first mode is excited by the voice coil ($N = 1$ theoretical approximation).

The chosen factor 250 is the one that displays the best fit between the $N = 1$ approximation and the experimental result. On these plots we observe that it is possible to reduce significantly the amplitude of anti-resonance and resonance associated to mode 2 on both displacement and acceleration plots. However, due to the fact that $\chi_{p3}$ and $\chi_{c3}$ do not vanish, mode 3 remains excited.

Finally, the experimental and theoretical radiated power on the axis at 84 cm expressed in dB$_{SPL}$ units is plotted on Figure 9a and Figure 9b in two different cases. In the first case, a white noise signal of 0.48V rms amplitude is sent to the voice coil while the piezoelectric patches are shunted ($u_{pl,1,2} = 0$). This case is referred to as the non-controlled system. In the second case, the same signal amplified 250 times is sent to the piezoelectric patches (120V rms). This case is referred to as the controlled system. Experimentally, the loudspeaker is baffled in a wood plane of $60 \times 65$ cm and...
measurements are performed in an anechoic chamber. It is known that for acoustical wavelengths equal or greater than the typical size of the plate, the rearward radiation interferes with the forward direct radiation [33], so that the results can not be compared with Rayleigh integral computations, which corresponds to an infinite baffle. Above this grayed range, experiments and theory are in good agreement.

The radiation of the uncontrolled system presents a peak at 1500Hz, followed by a strong hole due to the resonance and antiresonance of the second mode. The antiresonance is strongly reduced in the controlled system. A succession of resonance and antiresonance is also observed for the third mode around 3000 Hz. The controlled system presents also a reduced antiresonance. Finally, if we tolerate a maximum difference of 10dB in the pressure radiated by the plate, we can conclude that the system is able to extend the useful bandwidth from [200Hz,1500Hz] up to [200Hz,4000Hz].

5 Conclusion

In order to reduce the depth of the devices used to reproduce sound, one can envisage to use a clamped flat plate as a loudspeaker. In this article, the dynamics of a clamped plate excited by a voice coil at a given radius has been modeled. In order to circumvent the problems due to the vibrations of the plate along undesirable modes, we investigated the use of an additional forcing exerted by annular piezoelectric patches. An optimization has been performed to design a system where the modal force due to the voice coil and the piezoelectric patches second and third modes is minimized. A prototype has then been presented and transfer functions measured and predicted by the model have been successfully compared. Next, control tests have been presented, showing encouraging results. Indeed the radiated power shows that forcing the system with both the voice coil and the piezoelectric patches at appropriate respective amplitudes, the effects of the antiresonance and resonance of the second mode are less pronounced. Concerning the third mode, the objective was to design actuators which geometries allowed to cancel the forcing on this particular mode. The manufacturing as not precise enough to fully satisfy this objective.

Improvements to this study are multiple. Firstly, some work should be made to improve the precision of the manufacturing of the piezoelectric patches. Better understanding of the glue mechanical properties could also induce better agreement between theoretical and experimental results and thus improve the optimization process. Different plates could also be envisaged. Indeed, the thermoformed foam was used because it is commonly used in conical loudspeakers. This does not mean that it is the best material for the present application. Guidelines for the choice of this material could be found for instance in studies that look for parameters that maximize the piezoelectric coupling on sandwich beams [34]. Multiple pairs of piezoelectric patches or supplementary voice coils could also be envisaged to extend the bandwidth of the plate. Piezoelectric materials of different shapes or with spatially varying polarization could also be considered to design so-called modal actuators that could improve mode selectivity [32, 35]. Finally, nonlinear aspects have been overlooked in this work and should be included in the model to address the distortions that arises at high vibration amplitudes [36].

Fig. 9. Power radiated by the plate on axis at 84 cm, comparison of non controlled and controlled systems. Experiments in dashed blue and theory in plain black. Grayed region indicates the frequency range where backward radiation interferes with forward radiation, which is not taken into account by the model. The arrow indicates the bandwidth of the loudspeaker where a maximum 10 dB difference between minimum and maximum value is tolerated. (a), uncontrolled system; (b) controlled system.
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Appendix A: Eigenmodes and eigenfrequencies of the unforced plate without voice coil

The plate equation (4) is rewritten in the form of a union of homogeneous problems,

\[ D_0 \Delta^2 W(R, T) + \mu_0 \dot{W}(R, T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_1 \text{ and } \Omega_3, \]

\[ (D_0 + D_p) \Delta^2 W(R, T) + (\mu_0 + \mu_p) \ddot{W}(R, T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_2, \]  

(49)

where \( \Omega_1 \equiv R \in [0, A], \Omega_2 \equiv R \in [A, B] \) and \( \Omega_3 \equiv R \in [B, R_0]. \) To the set of local equations (4), a set of boundary conditions has to be added. These boundary conditions are the continuity of the displacement \( W, \) the rotation \( \partial W / \partial R \) the momentum and the shear at \( R = A \) and \( R = B \) and the boundary conditions of a plate clamped at \( R = R_0, \)

\[ [W]_{A^+}^A = 0, \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} [A^+] = 0, [Q]_{A^+} = 0, [M]_{A^+} = 0, \]

\[ [W]_{B^+}^B = 0, \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} [B^+] = 0, [Q]_{B^+} = 0, [M]_{B^+} = 0, \]  

(50)

\[ W(R_0) = 0, \frac{\partial W}{\partial R}(R_0) = 0. \]

In the particular case of a displacement independent of the polar angle, the momentum reads:

\[ M = -D_0 \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial R^2} - D_0 \nu_0 \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} \text{ in } \Omega_1 \text{ and } \Omega_3, \]

\[ M = -(D_0 + D_p) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial R^2} - (D_0 \nu_0 + D_p \nu_p) \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} \text{ in } \Omega_2, \]  

(51)

and the shear has the following expression:

\[ Q = -D_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \Delta W \text{ in } \Omega_1 \text{ and } \Omega_3, \]

\[ Q = -(D_0 + D_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \Delta W \text{ in } \Omega_2. \]  

(52)

Introducing the non-dimensional radius, displacement, time and force given in equation (11) the non-dimensional local equilibrium equation (49) becomes,

\[ \Delta^2 w + \ddot{w} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_1 \text{ and } \Omega_3, \]

\[ \Delta^2 w + \dot{w} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_2. \]  

(53)

\[ \lambda^4 = \omega^2 \]  

(66)

\[ \alpha^4 = \frac{1 + \mu_p}{1 + D_p}. \]  

(67)

In non-dimensional form, the boundary conditions have then the following expanded form,

\[ [w]_{A^+}^A = 0, \]  

(54)

\[ [\partial w / \partial R]_{A^+}^A = 0, \]  

(55)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \Delta w - (1 + \bar{D}_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \Delta w \bigg|_{A^+} = 0, \]  

(56)

\[ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial R^2} + \nu \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial R} \bigg|_{A^+} = 0, \]  

(57)

\[ [w]_{B^+}^B = 0, \]  

(58)

\[ [\partial w / \partial R]_{B^+}^B = 0, \]  

(59)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \Delta w - (1 + \bar{D}_p) \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \Delta w \bigg|_{B^+} = 0, \]  

(60)

\[ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial R^2} + \nu \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial R} \bigg|_{B^+} = 0, \]  

(61)

\[ w(1) = 0, \]  

(62)

\[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial R}(1) = 0. \]  

(63)

The eigenfrequencies and eigenmodes of equation (53) with boundary conditions (54-63) are now sought for. It is practically done by introducing a solution of the form

\[ w(r, t) = \varphi(r) e^{i \omega t} \]  

(64)

in equation (53). The latter now reads,

\[ \Delta^2 w - \lambda^4 w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_1 \text{ and } \Omega_3 \]

\[ \Delta^2 w - \alpha^4 \lambda^4 w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_2, \]  

(65)

where

\[ \lambda^4 = \omega^2 \]  

(66)

\[ \alpha^4 = \frac{1 + \mu_p}{1 + D_p}. \]  

(67)
tions are combinations of Bessel functions,
\[ \Phi_1(r) = A_1J_0(\alpha_0 r) + A_2I_0(\alpha r) \quad \text{in } \Omega_1 \]  
\[ \Phi_2(r) = A_3J_0(\alpha_0 r) + A_4I_0(\alpha r) + A_5J_0(\alpha r) + A_6K_0(\alpha_0 r) \quad \text{in } \Omega_2 \]  
\[ \Phi_3(r) = A_7J_0(\alpha_0 r) + A_8I_0(\alpha r) + A_9J_0(\alpha r) + A_{10}K_0(\alpha_0 r) \quad \text{in } \Omega_3 \]  
(68)  
(69)  
(70)
Introducing these solutions in the boundary conditions expressions leads to a linear problem,
\[ MA\bar{\lambda} = 0, \]  
(71)
where \( \bar{\lambda} \) is a column vector with 10 elements corresponding to the amplitudes \( A_n \), \( n \in [1, 10] \) and \( M_A \) is the matrix which coefficients are deduced from the boundary conditions expressions. A non trivial solution exists if
\[ \text{det}(M_A) = 0. \]  
(72)
The numerical resolution of this last equation gives the discrete values of \( \lambda_n \), which then gives the eigenfrequencies using equation (66). Introducing a particular value \( \lambda_n \) in the linear problem (71) gives the associated eigenmode through the vector \( \bar{\lambda}_n \). The associated eigenmode \( \phi_n(r) \) is the union of functions \( \Phi_{1,3} \) in their respective domains. One has then to choose a convention for the norm of the eigenmodes. Let us define a scalar product in the domain \( \Omega \) :
\[ \langle f, g \rangle = \int_S f g dS = 2\pi \int_0^1 f g r d r. \]  
(73)
The chosen convention for the normalization is,
\[ \langle \bar{\mu}(r) \phi_n(r), \phi_n(r) \rangle = 1, \]  
(74)
where \( \bar{\mu} \) describe the distribution of surface density of the plate, and reads,
\[ \bar{\mu}(r) = 1 + |H(r-a) - H(r-b)|\bar{\mu}_p. \]  
(75)
By definition, the eigenmodes are orthogonal with respect to the mass and rigidity operators,
\[ \langle \bar{\mu}(r) \phi_n(r), \phi_m(r) \rangle = \delta_{nm}, \]  
(76)
\[ \langle \bar{D}(r) \phi_n(r), \phi_m(r) \rangle = \omega_{nm}^2 \delta_{nm}, \]  
(77)
where \( \bar{D} \) describe the distribution of rigidity of the plate,
\[ \bar{D}(r) = 1 + |H(r-a) - H(r-b)|\bar{D}_p, \]  
(78)
and \( \delta \) is the Kronecker symbol. The eigenmodes defined here serve as a basis for the full problem defined in section 2.

**Appendix B: Five layers problem: equivalent three layers problems**

Provided that the Young’s modulus of the glue is of the same order as the other materials, the assumption that the deformation varies linearly with \( Z \) is still valid. We have to solve a problem of a five layer plate, as sketched in Figure 10. The momentum has now the following expression in Cartesian coordinates [37],
\[ M = \int_{h_0/2-h_p}^{h_0/2+h_p} \sigma_{XX} Z dZ \]  
(79)

\[ = -(D_0 + D_g + D_p') \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} - (\nu_0 D_0 + \nu_g D_g + \nu_p D_p') \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial y^2}, \]  
(80)

with,
\[ D_g = \frac{E_g}{1-\nu_g^2} \left( \frac{H_g H_0^2}{2} + H_g^2 H_0 + \frac{2H_0^3}{3} \right) \]  
(81)
\[ D_p' = \frac{E_p}{1-\nu_p^2} \left( \frac{H_p (H_0 + H_g)^2}{2} + H_p^2 (H_0 + H_g) + \frac{2H_0^3}{3} \right). \]  
(82)

The prime is used to avoid confusion with \( D_p \) defined in the three layer problem [30]. The momentum then takes the following form in cylindrical coordinates [37],
\[ M = -(D_0 + D_g + D_p') \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial r^2} - (\nu_0 D_0 + \nu_g D_g + \nu_p D_p') \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial W}{\partial R}. \]  
(83)

In non dimensional form, the continuity equation for the momentum has the following expression at \( r = a \),
\[ \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial W}{r \partial r} |_{r=a} - (1 + D_p') \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial r^2} \]  
(84)
\[ + (\nu_0 + D_p' \nu_p + D_g \nu_g) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} |_{r=a} = 0. \]

This last expression can be rewritten in the following form,
\[ \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial W}{r \partial r} |_{r=a} - (1 + D_p') \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial r^2} \]  
(85)
\[ + (\nu_0 + D_p' \nu_p + D_g \nu_g) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} |_{r=a} = 0. \]
with,

$$\bar{D}_{pg} = \bar{D}_p + \bar{D}_g$$ (86)

$$v_{pg} = \frac{\bar{D}_p v_p + \bar{D}_g v_g}{\bar{D}_p + \bar{D}_g}$$ (87)

Hence, the five layer problem can be modeled using the same equations as presented in section 2, provided that the following change of parameters is done:

$$\bar{D}_p \rightarrow \bar{D}_{pg}$$ (88)

$$v_p \rightarrow v_{pg}$$ (89)

$$\bar{\mu}_p \rightarrow \bar{\mu}_{pg} = \bar{\mu}_p + \bar{\mu}_g$$ (90)

$$Z_p \rightarrow Z_{pg} = \frac{H_0 + H_p + H_g}{2}$$ (91)
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