

# Numerical methods for dynamical systems

## INF656I

### Homework n° 2

#### Goal(s)

- ★ Implementation of Runge-Kutta methods
- ★ Application of Runge-Kutta methods on different ODE

For the next exercises, we will consider different dynamical systems to test the Runge-Kutta methods. In particular, we will consider

#### Non-stiff problems

— A1 :

$$\dot{y} = y \quad \text{with}$$

with  $y(0) = 1$ , the final simulation time is 20 seconds.

— B1 :

$$\dot{y}_1 = 2(y_1 - y_1 y_2)$$

$$\dot{y}_2 = -(y_2 - y_1 y_2)$$

with  $y_1(0) = 1$  and  $y_2(0) = 3$ , the final simulation time is 20 seconds.

#### Stiff problems

— A1 :

$$\dot{y}_1 = -0.5y_1$$

$$\dot{y}_2 = -y_2$$

$$\dot{y}_3 = -100y_3$$

$$\dot{y}_4 = -90y_4$$

with  $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = y_3(0) = y_4(0) = 1$ , the final simulation time is 20 seconds and the initial step-size is  $h_0 = 10^{-2}$ .

— F1 : (chemical reaction)

$$\dot{y}_1 = 1.3(y_3 - y_1) + 10400ky_2$$

$$\dot{y}_2 = 1880(y_4 - y_2(1 + k))$$

$$\dot{y}_3 = 1752 - 269y_3 + 267y_1$$

$$\dot{y}_4 = 0.1 + 320y_2 - 321y_4$$

with  $k = \exp(20.7 - 1500/y_1)$ , initial conditions  $y_1(0) = 761$  and  $y_2(0) = 0$ ,  $y_3(0) = 600$ ,  $y_4(0) = 0.1$  and a final simulation time of 1000 seconds, an initial step size  $h = 10^{-4}$

#### Other problems

— Orbit (3 body problems)

$$\dot{y}_1 = y_3$$

$$\dot{y}_2 = y_4$$

$$\dot{y}_3 = y_1 + 2y_4 - \mu_h \frac{y_1 + \mu}{D_1} - \mu \frac{y_1 - \mu_h}{D_2}$$

$$\dot{y}_4 = y_2 - 2y_3 - \mu_h \frac{y_2}{D_1} - \mu \frac{y_2}{D_2}$$

with  $\mu = 0.012277471$  and  $\mu_h = 1 - \mu$ ,  $D_1 = ((y_1 + \mu)^2 + y_2^2)^{3/2}$ ,  $D_2 = ((y_1 - \mu_h)^2 + y_2^2)^{3/2}$ .

Initial conditions  $y_1(0) = 0.994$ ,  $y_2(0) = 0$ ,  $y_3(0) = 0$  et  $y_4(0) = -2.00158510637908252240537862224$ .

The final simulation time is 35 seconds. Remark that the solution of this system should be peridodic (period around 17.0652).

## Exercise 1

The goal of this exercise is to implement a simulation engine based on Runge-Kutta methods. We recall that an initial value problem for ordinary differential equations is defined by

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} = f(t, \mathbf{y}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0 . \quad (1)$$

Runge-Kutta methods applied on Equation (1) generate an iteration scheme of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_i &= f \left( t_n + c_i h, \mathbf{y}_n + h \sum_{j=0}^i a_{ij} \mathbf{k}_j \right) \\ \mathbf{y}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{y}_n + h \sum_{i=0}^s b_i \mathbf{k}_i \\ \mathbf{z}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{y}_n + h \sum_{i=0}^s b'_i \mathbf{k}_i \end{aligned}$$

Note :  $\mathbf{k}_i$  have the same dimension than  $f$ .

### Question 1

The simplest adaptive explicit Runge-Kutta method combines the Heun's method with the Euler's method. Its Butcher tableau is

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & & \\ 1 & 1 & \\ \hline & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 1 & 0 \end{array}$$

The integration methods is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_1 &= f(t_n, \mathbf{y}_n) \\ \mathbf{k}_2 &= f(t_n + h_n, \mathbf{y}_n + h_n \mathbf{k}_1) \\ \mathbf{y}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{y}_n + h_n \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{k}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{k}_2 \right) \\ \mathbf{z}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{y}_n + h_n (\mathbf{k}_1) \end{aligned}$$

Implement this method.

### Question 2

We consider a second adaptive Runge-Kutta method which is the Bogacki-Shampine method. Its Butcher tableau is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & & & \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ \frac{3}{4} & 0 & \frac{3}{4} & \\ 1 & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{4}{9} \\ \hline & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{4}{9} \\ & \frac{7}{24} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{8} \end{array}$$

The integration methods is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_1 &= f(t_n, \mathbf{y}_n) \\ \mathbf{k}_2 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h_n, \mathbf{y}_n + \frac{1}{2}h_n \mathbf{k}_1\right) \\ \mathbf{k}_3 &= f\left(t_n + \frac{3}{4}h_n, \mathbf{y}_n + \frac{3}{4}h_n \mathbf{k}_2\right) \\ \mathbf{y}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{y}_n + h_n \left( \frac{2}{9} \mathbf{k}_1 + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{k}_2 + \frac{4}{9} \mathbf{k}_3 \right) \\ \mathbf{k}_4 &= f(t_n + h_n, \mathbf{y}_{n+1}) \\ \mathbf{z}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{y}_n + h_n \left( \frac{7}{24} \mathbf{k}_1 + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{k}_2 + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{k}_3 + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{k}_4 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Implement this method

### Question 3

Define a function to handle the adaptive step-size method (see slides 28 and 29 in the lecture). An infinite norm will be used by default

Some constants have to be also defined for this purpose.

- maximal step size  $h$
- minimal step size  $h$
- relative tolerance
- absolute tolerance

Note that in case of Bogacki-Shampine  $\mathbf{y}_{n+1}$  is 3-order approximation while  $\mathbf{z}_{n+1}$  is 2-order approximation. This method uses the “Local extrapolation approach”

### Question 4

Try to solve the given problems with the following methods :

- explicit Heun’s method
- implicit trapezoidal method
- variable Euler-Heun method
- Bogacki-Shampine’s method

Note that appropriate step sizes have to be defined in case of explicit fixed-step methods.

**Remark :** You reuse the source code which is given with the lecture.

### Question 5

Change the simulation engine to compute statistics as the number of accepted and rejected steps during the simulation.

### Question 6

Use different norms in the adaptive step-size algorithm :

- the Euclidian norm
- the weighed 2-norm defined by

$$\text{err} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\mathbf{y}_{n+1,i} - \mathbf{z}_{n+1,i}}{sc_i} \right)^2}$$

with  $sc_i = \max(\text{atol}, \text{rtol} \times \max(|\mathbf{y}_{n+1,i}|, |\mathbf{y}_{n,i}|))$

Observe the differences in terms of accepted and rejected steps using these norms.

### Question 7

- Use different tolerances (atol and rtol) in variable step-size methods to detect the limit of numerical stability, *i.e.* for which value the simulation result seems to diverge.
- for fixed-step size methods, play with the step-size to detect the value for which the simulation result diverge

### PART TO SUBMIT

- A small report should be sent summarize the answers to the questions.
- This report should be associated to the source code.

Send the archive containing the report and the source codes in a mail which title is

[numerical methods for dynamical systems] FIRSTNAME LASTNAME

to alexandre.chapoutot@ensta-paris.fr

**before the next lecture, Friday October 1, 2021.**