Elena VANNEAUX

elena.vanneaux@ensta-paris.fr

Course grade breakdowns Labs - 50% Final project - 50 %



input

how do I change this ?

output

to get what I want?



output

to get what I want?

command input = specification

Controller design = how to use the sensor data (output) to generate the correct actuator commands (control input) to ensure that the output of the system satisfies the specification

control	actuator	procoss		
input		process		
	impact control forces and energy		me pro	93 00
		controller	•	

command input = specification

To design controller

command input = specification

To design controller

you need to be able to influence the system

command input = specification

Controllable

To design controller

you need to be able to influence the system

command input = specification

Controllable

To design controller

you need to be able to influence the system

and know it's changing

command input = specification

Controllable

To design controller

you need to be able to influence the system

Observable

and know it's changing

command input = specification

Controllability and **observability** are conditions of how the system works with the actuators and sensors, and it's not tied to a specific control technique

Controllability (null reachability) means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Controllability (null reachability) means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Monorail

$$\dot{v} = u$$

$$\dot{p}=v$$

Controllability (null reachability) means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Monorail

$$\dot{v} = u$$

$$\dot{p}=v$$

v, km/h

p, km

Controllability (null reachability) means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Monorail

$$\dot{v} = u$$

$$\dot{p}=v$$

Controllability (null reachability) means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Monorail

v = u

p = v

controllability does not mean that the state must be maintained, only that it can be reached...

even if infinite amount of energy is required for that....

Controllability (null reachability) means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Monorail

Example of uncontrollable system

v = u

p = v

imagine we lost control of gaz pedal

$$\dot{v} = \underbrace{0}_{\dot{p}} u$$

Observability means that all states can be known from the outputs of the system

Monorail

$$\dot{v} = u$$

$$\dot{p} = v$$

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

Monorail

impractical to know every state of the system

v = u

$$\dot{p} = v$$

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

p = v

and we do not consider them in the state vector of the model

$$x = (p, v, t)$$

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

What does it mean to observe a state?

Monorail

we can mesure it

v = u

p = v

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix}$$

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

What does it mean to observe a state?

Monorail we can mesure it $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix}$ v = up = v

we can estimate it from available information

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix}$$

$$v = \dot{p}$$

measure position estimate speed

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

What does it mean to observe a state?

Monorail we can mesure it $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix}$ v = up = v

we can estimate it from available information

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad v$$

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix}$$

measure speed

estimate speed

= p

$$p = \int v dt + C$$

estimate position

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

What does it mean to observe a state?

we can estimate it from available information

$$v = \dot{p}$$

estimations are sensitive to measurement errors

$$p = \int v dt + C$$

estimate position

Observability means that all critical states can be known from the outputs of the system

Example of unobservable system imagine we lost all the sencors

$$y = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right)$$

Monorail

$$\dot{v} = u$$

$$\dot{p} = v$$

State equation Output equation

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \qquad y = Cx + Du$

Controllability means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Observability means that all states can be known from the outputs of the system

Dimensions

n states p controls m outputs

State equation

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

Controllability means that there exists control signal which allows the system to move from any any initial state to any final state in a finite time interval

Dimensions

n states p controls

State equation

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

Dimensions

n states p controls

State equation

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

Solution of a state equation

 $x(t) = e^{At}x(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$

matrix exponential

Dimensions

n states p controls

Let me remind...

• Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the exponential of A, denoted by e^A is the $n \times n$ matrix given by the power series

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!}$$

• Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and I_n is $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then

$$p(\lambda) = det(\lambda I_n - A) = \lambda^n + \alpha_{n-1}A^{n-1}$$

is called the characteristic polynomial of A.

 $^{-1} + \ldots + a_1\lambda + \alpha_0I_n$

Let me remind...

Theorem Caley-Hamilton

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ then A satisfy its own characteristic polynomial equation, i.e. $p(A) = A^{n} + \alpha_{n-1}A^{n-1} + \ldots + \alpha_{1}A + \alpha_{0}I_{n} = 0.$

• The theorem allows A^n to be expressed as a linear combination of the lower matrix powers of A

- The LTI system is called controllable if for any initial state x_0 and any final state x_f , there exists input signal u(t) such that the system, starting from $x(0) = x_0$, reaches $x(t_f) = x_f$ in some finite time t_f .
- Starting at 0 is not a special case if we can get to any state in finite time from the origin, then we can get from any initial condition to that state in finite time as well.

•
$$x(t_f) = \int_0^{t_f} e^{A(t_f - \tau)} Bu(\tau) d\tau$$

Solution of a state equation

• Change the variables $\tau_2 = \tau - t_f$, $d\tau = d\tau_2$ gives us a form

$$x(t_f) = \int_0^{t_f} e^{-A\tau_2} Bu(t_f)$$

 $+ \tau_2) d\tau_2$

• Change the variables $\tau_2 = \tau - t_f$, $d\tau = d\tau_2$ gives us a form

$$x(t_f) = \int_0^{t_f} \underbrace{e^{-A\tau_2} Bu(t_f)}_{0}$$

• Assume the system has *p* inputs. From the definition of matrix exponential and Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have

$$e^{-A\tau_2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^i}{i!} (-\tau_2)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i \alpha_i (\tau_2)$$

for some computable scalars $\alpha_i(\tau_2)$.

- $+\tau_{2}$) $d\tau_{2}$

• Hence

$$\underbrace{x(t_f)}_{i=0} = \int_0^{t_f} e^{-A\tau_2} Bu(t_f + \tau_2) d\tau_2 = \int_0^{t_f} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i \alpha_i(\tau_2)\right) Bu(t_f + \tau_2)$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (A^i B) \int_0^{t_f} \alpha_i(\tau_2) u(t_f + \tau_2) d\tau_2 = \int_0^{t_f} e^{-A\tau_2} Bu(t_f + \tau_2) d\tau_2 = \int_0^{t_f} e^{-A\tau_2} Bu($$

• the coefficients $\beta_i(t_f)$ depends on the input $u(\tau_2) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $0 < \tau_2 \leq t_f$.

$(+ \tau_2) d\tau_2 =$

$$T_2) d\tau_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (A^i B) \beta_i(t_f)$$
$$= u(\tau_2) \in \mathbb{R}^p, \ 0 < \tau_2 \leq t_f$$

• In matrix form, we have $x(t_f) = [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B] \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0(t_f) \\ & \ddots & \\ & \beta_{n-1}(t_f) \end{bmatrix}$

• In matrix form, we have $x(t_f) = \begin{bmatrix} B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \beta_0(t_f) \\ \dots \\ \beta_{n-1}(t_f) \end{bmatrix}$

 $\mathcal{C}(A,B)$

• A solution of this equation exists for any $x(t_f) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ if and only if

 $rank(\mathcal{C}(A,B)) = n.$

• In matrix form, we have $x(t_f) = [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B] \begin{vmatrix} \beta_0(t_f) \\ \dots \\ \beta_{n-1}(t_f) \end{vmatrix}$

• A solution of this equation exists for any $x(t_f) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ if and only if

 $rank(\mathcal{C}(A,B)) = n.$

Kalman's Controllability Rank Condition

The LTI system $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is controllable if and only if the controllability matrix $C(A, B) = [B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B]$ has full rank, i.e.

 $rank(\mathcal{C}(A, B)) = n.$

Controllability Examples

Example.

system is not controllable.

A linearised model of this system with unitary parameters gives

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t})$$
$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})$$

The controllability matrix is

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is not full rank, so the system is not controllable.

- In the hydraulic system on the left it is obvious that the input cannot affect the level x_2 , so it is intuitively evident that the 2-tank

t)

Controllability Examples

Example.

The controllability of the hydraulic system on the left is not so obvious, although we can see that $x_1(t)$ and $x_3(t)$ cannot be affected independently by u(t).

The linearised model in this case is

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}$$
$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})$$

The controllability matrix is

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & -4 \\ \mathbf{1} & -3 & \mathbf{11} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has rank 2, showing that the system is not controllable.

 $\mu(t)$

Controllability Examples

Example.

Now in the previous system suppose that the input is applied in the first tank, as shown in the figure. In this case the linearised model is the same as before, except that the matrix **B** is now different

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}$$
$$\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})$$

The controllability matrix is now

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} \ \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which has rank 3, showing that the system is controllable.

 $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t})$

State equation

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

Output equation

y = Cx + Du

Solution of a state equation

matrix exponential

 $y(t) = Ce^{At}x(0) + C\int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau + Du(t)$

Input - output relation

Dimensions

n states p controls m outputs

$x(t) = e^{At}x(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$

- Observability: Can we reconstruct x(0) by knowing $y(\tau)$ and $u(\tau)$ over some finite time interval [0, t]? (By knowing the initial condition, we can reconstruct the entire state x(t))
- Let us introduce notation

$$\tilde{y}(t) = y(t) - C \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} Bu(t)$$

then

$$y(t) = Ce^{At}x(0) + C\int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau +$$

(au) d au - Du(t)

$Du(t) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{y}(t) = Ce^{At}x(0)$

• Since the n-dimensional vector x(0) has n unknown components, we need *n* equations to find it.

- Since the n-dimensional vector x(0) has n unknown components, we need *n* equations to find it.
- Let's differentiate $\tilde{y}(t) \ n-1$ times:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{y}(t) &= Ce^{At}x(0) \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(1)} &= CAe^{At}x(0) \\ \cdots \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(n-1)} &= CA^{n-1}e^{At}x(0) \end{split} \qquad \begin{cases} \tilde{y}(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(1)} \\ \cdots \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(n-1)} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

- Since the n-dimensional vector x(0) has n unknown components, we need *n* equations to find it.
- Let's differentiate $\tilde{y}(t) n 1$ times:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{y}(t) &= Ce^{At}x(0) \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(1)} &= CAe^{At}x(0) \\ \cdots \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(n-1)} &= CA^{n-1}e^{At}x(0) \end{split} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{y}(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(1)} \\ \cdots \\ \tilde{y}(t)^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Kalman's Observability Rank Condition

The LTI system $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ with measurements y = Cx + Duis observable if and only if the observability matrix $\mathcal{O}(A, C)$ has full rank, i.e. $rank(\mathcal{O}(A, C)) = n$.

Let me summarize

Dimensions **Output equation State equation** n states y = Cx + Du $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ p controls m outputs

• The LTI system is controllable if and only if $rank(\mathcal{C}(A, B)) = n$. • The LTI system is observable if and only if $rank(\mathcal{O}(A, C)) = n$.

Let me summarize

Dimensions **Output equation State equation** n states y = Cx + Du $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ p controls m outputs

• The pair (A, B) is controllable if and only if $rank(\mathcal{C}(A, B)) = n$. • The pair (A, C) is observable if and only if $rank(\mathcal{O}(A, C)) = n$.

Duality of controllability & observability **Dimensions Output equation State equation** n states $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \qquad y = Cx + Du$ p controls m outputs

• The pair (A, B) is controllable if and only if $rank(\mathcal{C}(A, B)) = n$. • The pair (A, C) is observable if and only if $rank(\mathcal{O}(A, C)) = n$.

Duality of Controllability and observability

The pair of matrices (A, B) is controllable if and only if the pair of matrices (A^{T}, B^{T}) is observable.

Invariance Under Change of Coordinates

• Consider $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, y = Cx + Du and similarity transformation $\tilde{x} = Tx$, where T is invertible.

• The system $\dot{\tilde{x}} = \tilde{A}\tilde{x} + \tilde{B}u, y = \tilde{C}\tilde{x} + Du$ with matrices

$$ilde{A} = TAT^{-1}, \ ilde{B} = TB, ilde{C} =$$

is then called an equivalent system.

Invariance Under Nonsingular Transformations

The LTI system is controllable if and only if the equivalent system is controllable.

The LTI system is observable if and only if the equivalent system is observable.

- $= CT^{-1}$

Kalman Decomposition

The Kalman decomposition is defined as the realization of this system obtained by transforming the original matrices as follows:

$$egin{aligned} \hat{A} &= TAT^{-1} \ \hat{B} &= TB, \ \hat{C} &= CT^{-1}, \ \hat{D} &= D, \end{aligned}$$

where T^{-1} is the coordinate transformation matrix defined as

$$T^{-1} = [T_{r \overline{o}} \quad T_{r o} \quad T_{\overline{r o}} \quad T_{\overline{r o}}],$$

and whose submatrices are

- $T_{r\bar{o}}$: a matrix whose columns span the subspace of states which are both reachable and unobservable.
- T_{ro} : chosen so that the columns of $[T_{ro} \ T_{ro}]$ are a basis for the reachable subspace.
- $T_{\overline{ro}}$: chosen so that the columns of $[T_{r\overline{o}} \quad T_{\overline{ro}}]$ are a basis for the unobservable subspace.
- $T_{\overline{r}o}$: chosen so that $\begin{bmatrix} T_{r\overline{o}} & T_{ro} & T_{\overline{r}o} \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible.

It can be observed that some of these matrices may have dimension zero. For example, if the system is both observable and controllable, then $T^{-1} = T_{ro}$, making the other matrices zero dimension.

Kalman Decomposition

proof

By using results from controllability and observability, it can be shown that the transformed system $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D})$ has matrices in the following form:

$$\hat{A} = egin{bmatrix} A_{rar{o}} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \ 0 & A_{ro} & 0 & A_{24} \ 0 & 0 & A_{\overline{ro}} & A_{34} \ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{\overline{ro}} \ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{\overline{ro}} \ \end{bmatrix} \hat{B} = egin{bmatrix} B_{rar{o}} & B_{rar{o}} \ B_{ro} \ 0 & 0 \ \end{bmatrix} & \hat{C} = egin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{ro} & 0 & C_{\overline{ro}} \ \end{bmatrix} \hat{D} = D$$

This leads to the conclusion that

- The subsystem $(A_{ro}, B_{ro}, C_{ro}, D)$ is both reachable and observable.
- The subsystem $\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{r\bar{o}} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{ro} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{r\bar{o}} \\ B_{ro} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{ro} \end{bmatrix}, D \end{pmatrix}$ is reachable. • The subsystem $\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{ro} & A_{24} \\ 0 & A_{\bar{r}o} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{ro} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_{ro} & C_{\bar{r}o} \end{bmatrix}, D \end{pmatrix}$ is observable.

Please check the following resource for the